Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/540,084	COLLINS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pending</u>	
(1) <u>ILIA OUSPENSKI</u> .	(3)	
(2) PATRICK R.H. WALLER.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 June 2008</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant provided authorization for Examiner's Amendment as set forth in the accompanying Office Action.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/ILIA OUSPENSKI, Ph.D/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)